



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,695	09/10/2003	Scott A. Abfalter	94022,8300	7542
20322	7590	06/12/2009	EXAMINER	
SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. (Main)				WANG, JUE S
400 EAST VAN BUREN		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
ONE ARIZONA CENTER		2193		
PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202				
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		06/12/2009		PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/659,695	ABFALTER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JUE S. WANG	2193	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JUE S. WANG. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David Barker (Reg No. 58,581). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 16 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Aghera and Kraml.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Barker and Examiner discussed proposed amendments to claim 16 and 17. Examiner and Mr. Barker disagreed on whether Aghera teaches storing multiple versions of patch code and whether the code stored in the first memory and second memory of Kraml are both executable. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jue S Wang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2193	/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2193
---	---